

THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

CHANCES AND OPPORTUNITIES RISKS AND THREADS

University College of Teacher Education, Carinthia | University College Viktor Frankl A-9020 Klagenfurt | Austria | www.ph-kaemten.ac.at

Prof. Mag. Roland Arrich



- institution: University College of Teacher Education Carinthia – Viktor Frankl Hochschule
- position: head of institute for vocational education
- job: teacher training, QM, in-service training, teacher further education, school development, research&development in vocational education

University College of Teacher Education, Carinthia | University College Viktor Frankl A-9020 Klagenfurt | Austria | www.ph-kaemten.ac.at

THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM



- a challenge for an institution as an university
- 3 principles:
- 1. "QUALITY COMMITMENT" "you define what quality is and you should keep what you promise"
- honesty, you have to be conviced
- no hidden lies
- no strange tactics

THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM



2. "LEARNING BY MISTAKES"

- QM is mostly not so much product orientated
- QM is more process orientated "the (right) way is the aim"
- quality is the result of better circumstances defined and realised by e.g. members of an university, a faculty, a group
- instrument: PDCA-Circle

University College of Teacher Education, Carinthia | University College Viktor Frankl A-9020 Klacenfurt | Austria | www.ph-kaemten.ac.at

PDCA-CIRCLE or "DEMING-CIRCLE" PLAN (1) DO (2) ACT (4) University College of Teacher Education, Carinthia | University College Viktor Frankl A-9020 Klagenfurt | Austria | www.ph-kaernten.ac.at

plan



- you plan an action for a progress of something which disturbs you at present
- as a university, faculty, group of professors, teachers, students
- brainstorming
- collection(s) of data
- realisation of a working programme which you want to be implemented

do



- you realise the working programme
- the plan you agreed within your group will be implemented e.g. at your university, faculty
- you try it out

University College of Teacher Education, Carinthia | University College Viktor Frank

check



- check the new results
- do they satisfy you?
- did these new results match your expectations?
- what did we learn about implementing the "new way"?
- collection(s) of new data etc. (e.g. questionnaires, figures, interviews)

University College of Teacher Education, Carinthia | University College Viktor Frankl A-9020 Klacenfurt | Austria | www.ph-kaernten.ac.at

act



- decision making if the new plan you implemented satisfied you (+)
- decision making if the new plan didn't work as you expected. Maybe you have to change some factors, strategies or methods? (-) "mistake as a chance"
- try a new start and integrate different conditions you probably forgot. Restart at stage 1: plan

THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM



3. QM IS "CLIENT ORIENTATED"

- who are the university's clients?
- students
- · parents of students
- · political decision makers
- industry
- institutions where graduated persons work e.g. schools, administration, private sector

University College of Teacher Education, Carinthia | University College Viktor Frankl A-9020 Klagenfurt | Austria | www.ph-kaemten.ac.at

A NEW ROLE FOR GOVERNING BOARD AND COUNCIL



CHANCES AND OPPORTUNITIES

- must be conviced for QM, supportative function
- corporate identity: QM is +
- higher acceptance by rectorate, faculties, and students through more transparency
- more identification with these bodies means easier realisations of innovations in the future

University College of Teacher Education, Carinthia | University College Viktor Frankl A-9020 Klagenfurt | Austria | www.ph-kaemten.ac.at

A NEW ROLE FOR GOVERNING BOARD AND COUNCIL



RISKS AND THREADS

- not only "top down" also "bottom up"
- to convince and to support means more "bottom up"
- · more democracy in decision making
- maybe: you loose (old) power but you get a new power role
- who is above the governing board and council in case of QM (influence)?

A NEW ROLE FOR GOVERNING BOARD AND COUNCIL



SITUATION IN AUSTRIA AND EU

- governing boards only consist of external members (example "Hochschulrat")
- governing boards are big supporters for universities
- help to get more autonomy via QM
- help to get more money via QM and research&development
- interfere only if something illegal happens...

University College of Teacher Education, Carinthia | University College Viktor Frankl

NEW WAYS OF COOPERATION	SO CISCHE	40CHS
NEW WAYS OF COOPERATION BETWEEN RECORATE AND FACUL	TIES	CHOL

CHANCES AND OPPORTUNITIES

- corporate identity (CI): mission statement, "you have to live it"
- QM as a factor for success (example A.) at the (university)market
- more cooperation within the university strengthens the CI an the whole system

University College of Teacher Education, Carinthia | University College Viktor Frankl A-9020 Klagenfurt | Austria | www.ph-kaernten.ac.at

NEW WAYS OF COOPERATION BETWEEN RECORATE AND FACULTIES

you decide how you measure your performance (quality decision)

examples:

number of students, number of graduates, quality of graduates (feedback of institutions, image), number and quality of publications, third-party funds attracted, public relations?

NEW WAYS OF COOPERATION BETWEEN RECORATE AND FACULTIES

RISKS AND THREADS

- many universities have a lack in internal cooperation
- many universities have a lack in guidance (emphasis on administration, organisation)
- QM at university level means: building adequate structures and cultures for changes (threads to present structures?)

University College of Teacher Education, Carinthia | University College Viktor Frankl A-9020 Kladenfurt | Austria | www.oh-kaemten.ac.at

NEW WAYS OF COOPERATION BETWEEN RECORATE AND FACULTIES

SITUATION IN AUSTRIA AND EU

- rectorate and faculties: CI (high quality in teacher training.....), constantly: coordination sessons (rectorate-faculties), and within the faculties (PDCA-method)
- QM as an advantage at the "universitymarket"
- consequence: good reputation, financial situation, more students, also from abroad

University College of Teacher Education, Carinthia | University College Viktor Frankl A-9020 Klagenfurt | Austria | www.ph-kaemten.ac.at

CONSEQUENCES FOR STUDY PROGRAMMES, RESEARCH



- what do the stakeholders of the university want?
- · influence of the labour market
- · guidelines of councils and ministry
- · students as clients
- more research&development (in which fields? What is needed within the scientific community (Austrian example)?)
- more professional public relation

CONSEQUENCES FOR STUDY PROGRAMMES, RESEARCH



CHANCES AND OPPORTUNITIES

 higher acceptance by students (as clients) institutions where graduates work public and private sector if e.g. research projects are successful (applied research) politicians and sponsors (better chances) other universities (cooperation)

University College of Teacher Education, Carinthia | University College Viktor Frankl A-9020 Klagenfurt | Austria | www.ph-kaernten.ac.at

CONSEQUENCES FOR STUDY PROGRAMMES, RESEARCH



RISKS AND THREADS

- the expectations of clients normally are many sided and sometimes controversal
- maybe some consequences cannot be discussed because they are part of an university policy
- danger for the whole system. Which factors are more important which not?
- priority list (if possible)

University College of Teacher Education, Carinthia | University College Viktor Frankl

CONSEQUENCES FOR STUDY PROGRAMMES, RESEARCH



SITUATION AT OUR UNIVERSITY

- What is really needed in modern education at present:
- new (old) teaching methods at university and school level "learning by doing" etc.
- more university- and school-development
- research programmes which support universities and schools in these fields (applied research, ressources)

STUDENT EVALUATIONS INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL EVALUATION

- · again: students are the university's clients
- evaluations by students are necessary, PDCA (also evaluations by pupils at schools)
- start with internal evaluation, don't exaggerate, be careful who and what you evaluate and publish (Austrian example)
- · external evaluation
- no evaluations without consequences!

University College of Teacher Education, Carinthia | University College Viktor Frankl

STUDENT EVALUATIONS INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL EVALUATION

AND STREET

CHANCES AND OPPORTUNITIES

- if you introduce it carefully you get many informations about what is successful and what is not...
- higher responsibility of all evaluation partners, they have to be respectful
- you have to have an agreed action plan what you do with the results (e.g. changes, exact time schedule, responsibilities)

University College of Teacher Education, Carinthia | University College Viktor Frankl A-9020 Klagenfurt | Austria | www.ph-kaernten.ac.at

STUDENT EVALUATIONS INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL EVALUATION



RISKS AND THREADS

- many prejudices and fears against internal and external evaluation
- why should a professor be evaluated by a student?
- who will get the results?
- · my position could be shattered
- nothing happens after an evaluation

University College of Teacher Education, Carinthia | University College Viktor Frankl

AN EXAMPLE FOR AN EXTERNAL EVALUATION "PEER REVIEW"



- Peer Review (PR) is nothing new
- PR is voluntarily
- peers = critical friends,
- peers are external persons
- PR is very effective
- base is again: PDCA-Circle
- Big success at university and school level (higher vocational schools in Austria)

University College of Teacher Education, Carinthia | University College Viktor Frankl A-9020 Klagenfurt | Austria | www.ph-kaemten.ac.at

PEER REVIEW ACTION



- universitiy defines the field which shall be reviewed
- internal evaluation and eval. report
- university chooses peers (e.g. partner university, industry, QM-experts..) and submits them the evaluation report
- peers develop on that base an action plan for the peer visit (2-3 days)
- peer visit: central part of PR

University College of Teacher Education, Carinthia | University College Viktor Frankl A-9020 Klagenfurt | Austria | www.ph-kaernten.ac.at

PEER REVIEW ACTION



- peers make interviews (dean, rectorate, professors, students....)
- after the peer visit the peers write a peer report & send it to the reviewed university
- the reviewed university decides which suggestions of the peer review report will be accepted and which not
- the university makes an action plan for the realisation of these accepted proposals

PEER REVIEW: EXPERIENCES



- highly accepted by reviewed instutions
- nobody has been forced to take part
- till now external evaluation has always been suspicious
- criticism and mistakes as a chance for further-development
- also peers learn a lot during a PR
- peers should not be concurrents and "Mr and Mrs know it all"

University College of Teacher Education, Carinthia | University College Viktor Frankl



THANK YOU FOR LISTENING AND FOR YOUR INTEREST IN QUALITY MANAGEMENT!

roland arrich@ph-kaernten.ac.at